UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,462	11/21/2005	Reinhard Strey	04156.0016U1	. 2768
23859 7590 01/22/2007 NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. EXAMINER				INER
SUITE 1000	,		CHANG, VICTOR S	
999 PEACHTR ATLANTA, G		•	ART UŅIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1771	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Six a planage to 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Supplemental	10/540,462	STREY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Victor S. Chang	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CO 1.136(a). In no event, howe od will apply and will expire s tute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	nis action is non-fina	I.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for for	nal matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-58</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo		ition.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 25-58 are subject to restriction and	or election requiren	nent.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		3 (3) (3) (7)				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been recei	ved.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			Stage			
application from the International Bure			J			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified co	pies not received.	•			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	41 🗀 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	F	aper No(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		lotice of Informal Patent Application Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20070118			

Application/Control Number: 10/540,462

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. In response to an interview on 1/11/2007, in which applicants indicate that since the restriction requirement dated 12/29/2006 are directed to cancelled claims, a new rewritten restriction requirement for amended claims is requested. Applicants also request a restart of the response time. The examiner has agreed to issue a supplemental action with a restart of the response time, as set forth below.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 25-35 and 37, drawn to a foamed material.

Group II, claim(s) 36 and 38-58, drawn to a process of making a foamed material.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 25 is either anticipated by or obvious over DeSimone et al. [US 5840820]. More particularly, DeSimone teaches olefin metathesis reactions in carbon dioxide medium in the presence of an amphilpniic material, which read on K1, K2 and K3 of claim 25. As such the recited claim 1 lacks novelty or inventive step, and does not make a contribution over the prior art. The unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,462

Art Unit: 1771

3. This application also contains claims directed to more than one categories of species of

the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not

so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

I. Amphiphilic Material

Please elect a single species of amphiphilic materials listed in claims 34 and 35.

Page 3

II. Second Fluid

Please elect a single species of second fluid listed in claims 33 and 35.

III. First Fluid

Please elect a single species of first fluid listed in claims 28-32 and 35.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species from each category to which the claims shall be restricted to commonly elected species from all the categories if no

generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on

the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an

election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 25 for Group I; claim 36 for Group II.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,462

Art Unit: 1771

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each species has distinct structure and/or composition, and there is no evidence that they are obvious variants.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor S Chang

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

1/18/2007